

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/004,742	12/04/2001		Peter Matthews		8290
9809	7590	12/27/2004		EXAMINER	
KEELING		N LLC	WEIER, ANTHONY J		
P.O. BOX 70103 HOUSTON, TX 77270				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<i>`</i>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	20
7	Office Action Summan	10/004,742	MATTHEWS ET AL.	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_		Anthony Weier	1761	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence addr	ress
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however unication. b) days, a reply within the statutory minin tutory period will apply and will expire Si will, by statute, cause the application to t	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this compecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	•	2b)☐ This action is non-final for allowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to the r	merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/at Claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from considera		
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received documents have been received the priority documents have half all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National S a)).	itage
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	nterview Summary (PTO-413)	
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	aper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- Other:	152)

Art Unit: 1761

i

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giguere.

Giguere discloses a process of degermination corn including tempering said corn, pre-breaking same (wherein it is considered that a polishing action would take place, particular since it is required that the germ in said treatment still remain whole), tempering same, and then treating same through a set of rollers which are would inherently cause a step of friction due to the separation between same (avoidance of size reduction of the germ, col. 11, lines 40-48) and difference in speed between the rollers (col. 12, lines 4-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 14-18 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 1761

À,

The prior art of record neither discloses nor teaches the particular process as claimed wherein corn kernels are treated to a tempering step, polishing step, second tempering step, and a friction step wherein said friction step comprises applying friction forces to said corn kernels between at least two elastic surfaces. Although Giguere discloses most of these elements, it is silent regarding the use of roller with two elastic surfaces and there would be no motivation to employ same, particularly in conjunction with the double tempering steps called for.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Giguere does not discloses a polishing step or friction step as called for in instant claim 1. The Examiner disagrees. Taken broadly, the polishing step of claim 1 is inherently produced during the pre-breaking step of Giguere which actually produces a rubbing action that removes endosperm from the germ. The devices illustrated in Figures 1-4 suggest that surfaces of the kernel would be rubbed as a result of the action employed therein and that this would further result in at least some polishing of the kernels. As for the friction step called for in instant claim 1 and considered broadly, Giguere discloses a step of forcing the remaining grain through a mill comprising rollers with corrugations which are rotated at different speeds. This would inherently produce producing a friction action between portions of the grain and the rollers as well as grain to grain.

Art Unit: 1761

Â,

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Weier June 23, 2004 Anthony Weier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761